AGE Unit 7 (- ω verbs) Part 1 (introduction to - ω verbs) CLASSICAL reading

Aristotle Rhetoric 1.27-32.1377a

Aristotle is analyzing the techniques and strategies that someone can use in court. Here he is discussing the topic of oaths. Oaths were a regular part of ancient legal proceedings, where participants swore a sacred oath to honor a contract. This passage repeatedly refers to one or both parties to the agreement administering $(\delta\iota\delta\delta\nu\alpha\iota)$ an oath or taking $(\lambda\alpha\mu\beta\acute{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\iota\nu)$ an oath:

Aristotle begins by saying that there are four possibilities for a speaker in court with respect to an oath:

```
ἢ γὰο δίδωσι καὶ λαμβάνει,
ἢ οὐδέτεοον,
ἢ τὸ μὲν, τὸ δ᾽ οὔ,
καὶ τούτων
ἢ δίδωσιν μὲν, οὐ λαμβάνει δέ,
ἢ λαμβάνει μὲν, δίδωσιν δὲ οὔ.
```

Aristotle says that if someone did not administer (où $\delta i\delta \omega \sigma i \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ où ν) an oath, then they can argue that this was because they trust the court to convict someone who does not honor the contract:

ἐν τοῖς δικασταῖς...μὲν γὰο πιστεύει, τῷ δ' οὔ.

Later Aristotle explains how a speaker should capitalize on having taken an oath: εὶ δὲ λαμβάνει, ὅτι πιστεύει αὐτῷ, ἐκείνῳ δ᾽ οὔ.

Then Aristotle explains how a speaker capitalizes on having administered an oath: $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta (\delta \omega \sigma i v)$,

ὅτι it is pious to trust τοῖς θεοῖς ...αὐτοῖς γὰο δίδωσι κοίσιν. καὶ ὅτι ἄτοπον τὸ μὴ θέλειν ὀμνύναι πεοὶ ὧν ἄλλους ἀξιοῦσιν ὀμνύναι.

VOCABULARY

ἄλλους (acc pl) ó others ἀξιόω consider worthy ἄτοπον (nom/acc sg) τό out of place αὑτῷ (dat sg) ὁ himself δικασταῖς (dat pl) ὁ jurors θέλω want

θεοῖς (dat pl) ὁ gods κρίσιν (acc sg) ἡ judgment ὅμνυμι swear an oath οὐδέτερον neither τούτων (gen pl) these

Plato Euthyphro 14e9-15a4

This passage comes from one of Plato's dramatic dialogues. Socrates has been interrogating a prophet named Euthyphro about piety and the relationship between humans and gods. Socrates has gotten Euthyphro, reluctantly, to characterize this relationship as trade or a business transaction ($\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi o \varrho i\alpha$). Next Socrates asks:

τίς ἡ ἀφελία τοῖς θεοῖς τυγχάνει οὖσα ἀπὸ τῶν δώρων ὧν παρ' ἡμῶν λαμβάνουσιν; ὰ μὲν γὰρ διδόασι παντὶ δῆλον (ἐστί)·

οὐδὲν γὰο ἡμῖν ἐστιν ἀγαθὸν ὅ τι ἂν μὴ ἐκεῖνοι δῶσιν.

ά δὲ παρ' ἡμῶν λαμβάνουσιν,

τί ἀφελοῦνται;

ἢ τοσοῦτον αὐτῶν πλεονεκτοῦμεν κατὰ τὴν ἐμποςίαν, ὥστε πάντα τὰ ἀγαθὰ πας' αὐτῶν λαμβάνομεν, ἐκεῖνοι δὲ πας' ἡμῶν οὐδέν;

VOCABULARY

ἀγαθὸν (nom/acc sg) τό good ἀγαθὰ (nom/acc pl) τό good ἀν marks a hypothetical situation δῆλον (nom/acc sg) τό clear δώρων (gen pl) τό gifts δῶσιν ~ διδόασιν ἐμπορίαν (acc sg) ἡ business, trade, barter ἡμῖν (dat pl) us ἡμῶν (gen pl) us

θεοῖς (dat pl) ὁ gods οὐδὲν (nom/acc sg) τό nothing πάντα (nom/acc pl) τό everything παντὶ (dat sg) ὁ everyone πλεονεκτόω be superior τυγχάνω οὖσα happen to be τοσοῦτον (nom/acc sg) τό so much ἀφελία (nom sg) ἡ benefit ἀφελοῦνται (3rd pl) benefit (from something)